



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/688,951 | 10/21/2003 | Namik Hrle | 0920.0058C | 3320 |

46157 7590 10/03/2006

EDELL, SHAPIRO, & FINNAN, LLC
1901 RESEARCH BOULEVARD, SUITE 400
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

NGUYEN, CAM LINH T

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2161

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/688,951

Applicant(s)

HRLE, NAMIK

Examiner

CamLinh Nguyen

Art Unit

2161

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is response to amendment filed on 8/9/2006.
2. Applicant's amendments to claims 1 – 30 are acknowledged. Consequently, claims 1 – 30 are currently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibamiya et al (U.S. 4,956,774) in view of Haderle et al (U.S. 6,006,220) (provided by the IDS).

♦ As per claims 1, 11, 21,

Shibamiya discloses a method/apparatus having a computer readable medium (Fig. 1) of processing a query in a database management system, the method comprising:

(a) "An optimizer module" (Fig. 1, element 34) for "Generating a preferred access path for a query at execution time based upon a first value for each variable in the query" corresponds to the method that allows the system 10 to create the access path and stored it in the application plan 32 (See col. 4, lines 57 – 63). Shibamiya discloses that several access paths can be generated for a query (4, lines 57 – 63). The query includes the key value for the generated access path (col. 2, lines 34 – 41). Therefore, the first value (first generated access path)

Art Unit: 2161

corresponds to the “first value” for each variable in the query. “Variable in the query” see col. 34, lines 24 – 31.

(b) “ A storage module” (Fig. 1, element 32) for “Storing information related to said query, said first value for each variable and said preferred access path” Because all the paths that related to the query are stored in the application plan 32 (see col. 4, lines 57 – 63), therefore, Shibamiya patent is able to store “information related to said query, said first value for each variable and said preferred access path”.

(c) “ A functional module” (Fig. 1, element 24, 26) for “ Determining whether to regenerate said preferred access path for use with subsequent execution of the same query using a second value for each variable based upon a comparison of the stored information related to said first value for each variable with information related to said second value for each variable” As noted above, there are several access paths for the same query, and all information is stored in the system for selecting a best access path (col. 6, lines 8 – 15). The Shibamiya patent must be able to determine “whether to regenerate said preferred access path for use with subsequent execution of the same query using a second value for each variable based upon a comparison of the stored information related to said first value for each variable with information related to said second value for each variable”, wherein the second vale corresponds to the second value of the query or the second value in the second access path.

(d) “Regenerating said preferred access path in accordance with said determination” See col. 2, lines 34 – 41.

Shibamiya does not clearly disclose that generate the preferred access path for use with a subsequent execution of the same query based upon a comparison of information related to the values of the variables within the queries as recited in the claims.

However, Haderle, on the other hand, discloses a method for optimizing access path for a query at execution time using an actual value for each variable in the query (see abstract of Haderle). Haderle teaches that there are two type of variable: default and actual (col. 4, lines 1 – 60, Haderle). The default value corresponds to the “stored information” and the actual value corresponds to the second value that differs sufficiently from the stored value. In other word, Haderle teaches that “regenerating said preferred access path for said query in response to said comparison indicating that said information related to said second value differs sufficiently from said stored information related to said first value to enable generation of an access path different than said preferred access path”.

Since both invention are in the same field (optimizing query), it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Haderle into the invention of Shibamiya because the combination would provide the user with the best access path in order to retrieve the most accurate result.

♦ As per claims 2, 12, 22, the combination of Shibamiya and Haderle disclose:

- “Wherein step (a) further includes: (a.1) generating a preferred access path for said query based further upon a frequency distribution of said first value for each variable in said query” See col. 2, lines 34 – 41, col. 29, lines 8 – 13.

♦ As per claims 3, 13, 23, the combination of Shibamiya and Haderle disclose:

- “Wherein step (b) further includes: (b.1) generating a unique identifier unambiguously denoting the query” See col. 1, lines 54 – 63, wherein Shibamiya discloses an index that includes the key value and an identifier, and Shibamiya system is able to select an access path having at least one index (col. 3, lines 58 – 65).
- ♦ As per claims 4, 14, 24, the combination of Shibamiya and Haderle disclose:
 - “Wherein step (b) further includes: (b.1) storing said first value for each variable independently” As noted above, there are several access paths for the same query, and all information is stored in the system for selecting a best access path (col. 6, lines 8 – 15). Therefore, the first value for each variable is stored independently (also see Example 1 in col. 5, lines 109 – 110, wherein the first keys are stored for each column in the index).
- ♦ As per claims 5, 15, 25, the combination of Shibamiya and Haderle disclose:
 - “Wherein step (b) further includes: (b.1) determining a frequency distribution of said first value for each variable” col. 5, lines 15 – col. 6, lines 15, col. 34, lines 24 – 31 of Shibamiya.
- ♦ As per claims 6, 16, 26, the combination of Shibamiya and Haderle disclose:
 - “Wherein step (b) further includes: (b.1) determining a unique indicator unambiguously denoting a category of values that cause the generation of the same access path” since there are common value and distinct values in Shibamiya patent (col.25, lines 36 – 50), therefore, there are at least two type of categories of values that cause the generation of the same access path.
- ♦ As per claims 7, 17, 27, the combination of Shibamiya and Haderle disclose:

- “Wherein step (b.1) further includes: (b.1.1) choosing a category, wherein said category is at least one of: a category of values causing a table-space scan access path, a category of values causing an index-scan access path, a category of values causing an index-access access path, and a category corresponding to a cardinality range of said first value” See col. 18, lines 36 – 44, wherein Shibamiya discloses the optimizer can choose between a sequential table scan or index scan.
- ♦ As per claims 8 - 9, 18 – 19, 28 – 29, the combination of Shibamiya and Haderle disclose:
 - “Wherein step (c) includes: (c.1) categorizing said second value for each variable” corresponds to the value that has a distinct value and the first value belong to the common value as disclosed in claim 6 of Shibamiya.
 - “Wherein step (c) includes: (c.2) determining whether said first value and said second value belong to a different category” since both values are categorized in different categories (common and distinct), therefore, first value and said second value belong to a different category.
- ♦ As per claims 10, 20, 30, the combination of Shibamiya and Haderle disclose:
 - “Wherein step (d) includes: (d.1) storing the regenerated preferred access path and corresponding second values for each variable and frequency distribution” As discussed above the system is able to store different access path and corresponds value (see col. 4, lines 57 – 63 of Shibamiya) in the database, and calculate the frequency distribution (col. 29, lines 40 – 45 of Shibamiya).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2161

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272 - 4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272 - 4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 - 273 - 8300.

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen, Cam-Linh

Art Unit 2161

LN


FRANTZ COBY
PRIMARY EXAMINER